

Properties of the coupling constants of $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ decays^{*}

HUANG Jin-Shu(黄金书)^{1,2;1)} SUN Jun-Feng(孙俊峰)² LU Gong-Ru(鲁公儒)² LI Hai-Bo(李海波)³

¹ College of Physics and Electronic Engineering, Nanyang Normal University, Nanyang 473061, China

² College of Physics and Electronic Engineering, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, China

³ Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

Abstract: Based on the branching fractions of $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ from different experiments, we investigate the properties of the coupling constants of $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ decays using a model-dependent approach. We find that the octet coupling constant, g_8 , of strong interaction is about twice larger than that of the singlet coupling constant g_1 ; the electromagnetic breaking parameters g_E^i are larger than the mass breaking parameters g_M^i , moreover, the three parameters of the electromagnetic effect are about equal, but the three parameters of the mass effect are obviously different and their uncertainties are also large; and the phase angle between strong and electromagnetic interactions is in the range of 70° – 80° . It deepens our understanding of the coupling constant of $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ decays.

Key words: J/ψ decay, mixing angle, coupling constant, fit

PACS: 14.40.Lb, 13.25.Ft **DOI:** 10.1088/1674-1137/37/7/073103

1 Introduction

The J/ψ decays play an important role in the understanding of low-energy hadron dynamics. A particular advantage of these decays is that the initial state is a very good approximation of a flavor- $SU(3)$ singlet. In order to estimate that $SU(3)$ is an approximate symmetry of low-energy hadron interactions, we need to study in detail the information on the final-state particles of J/ψ decay.

The implication of $SU(3)$ symmetry in J/ψ decays into mesons has been studied [1]. J/ψ can decay into a vector and a pseudoscalar mainly induced by three-gluon annihilation and electromagnetic processes. However, these branching ratios are only of the order 10^{-3} since the hadronic decays of J/ψ are suppressed by the Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka (OZI) rule [2].

Based on the $SU(3)$ symmetry, three quarks may make up an octet and one singlet. Because the mass of an s quark is larger than those of u and d quarks and mixing in the mesons exists, it will cause $SU(3)$ breaking and further create a physical nonet. The octet and singlet are the eigenstates of the $SU(3)$ group, however, what we can observe in experiment is not $SU(3)$ eigenstates themselves, but their mixing. For example, for the pseudoscalar mesons, if pseudoscalar glueballs and radially excited states are ignored and only quark states are

considered, then the physical states η and η' are related to η^8 and η^0 , via the usual mixing formulas

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \eta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_P & -\sin\theta_P \\ \sin\theta_P & \cos\theta_P \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta^8 \\ \eta^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where θ_P is the mixing angle between η^8 and η^0 . Similarly, for the vector mesons ϕ and ω , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \omega \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_V & -\sin\theta_V \\ \sin\theta_V & \cos\theta_V \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega^8 \\ \omega^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where θ_V is the mixing angle between ω^8 and ω^0 .

For vector mesons, the mixing between ω^8 and ω^0 is basically an idea mixing, $\sin\theta_V = \sqrt{1/3}$, $\cos\theta_V = \sqrt{2/3}$, i.e., $\theta_V \approx 35.3^\circ$, so in this case we have

$$\omega = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}|u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}\rangle, \quad \phi = |s\bar{s}\rangle. \quad (3)$$

However, for pseudoscalar mesons, it always brings people great interest to discuss the mixing between η^8 and η^0 [3–10].

Combining the mixings in vector mesons and pseudoscalar mesons and considering the various mass effects and electromagnetic effects, in this paper we shall analyze the properties of the coupling constants of $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ decay, which is very important to comprehend the breaking of $SU(3)$ flavor symmetry.

Received 10 September 2012, Revised 8 October 2012

^{*} Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10979008) Program for Science and Technology Innovation Talents in Universities of He'nan Province (2012HASTIT030) and the Natural Science Foundation of He'nan Province (102300410210)

1) E-mail: jshuang@foxmail.com

©2013 Chinese Physical Society and the Institute of High Energy Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and the Institute of Modern Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and IOP Publishing Ltd

2 Phenomenological study on the decays $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$

2.1 Effective Lagrangian of $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$

For the two-body decays $J/\psi \rightarrow H_1 H_2$, where H_1 and H_2 denote mesons, the most general interaction term in an $SU(3)$ invariant is [2]

$$L_{\text{int}} = \psi (g_8 O_1^a O_2^a + g_1 S_1 S_2), \quad (4)$$

where O and S denote an octet and a singlet, respectively, and a sum over $a=1,2,\dots,8$ is implied.

The $SU(3)$ symmetry in the nonet of pseudoscalar meson is not strict, two types of $SU(3)$ breaking should be considered. The first one is induced by the different mass of quarks, and the other one is the different charge of quarks.

In our theoretical calculation, $m_u = m_d$ is usually assumed, but $m_s \neq m_d$, this difference of mass will cause the breaking effect on $SU(3)$ symmetry

$$m_d(\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d) + m_s \bar{s}s = m_0 \bar{q}q + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(m_d - m_s) \bar{q} \lambda_8 q, \quad (5)$$

where $q = (u, d, s)$, $m_0 = \frac{1}{3}(2m_d + m_s)$ is the average quark mass, and λ_8 is the eighth of the Gell-Mann matrices. The last term in Eq. (5) is the mass effects of violating $SU(3)$ invariance. We need to introduce a new spurion $M^a = \delta^{a8}$ to describe this $SU(3)$ breaking term.

The electromagnetic effects violate $SU(3)$ symmetry since the photon coupling to quarks is proportional to the electric charge

$$\frac{2}{3} \bar{u} \gamma_\mu u - \frac{1}{3} \bar{d} \gamma_\mu d - \frac{1}{3} \bar{s} \gamma_\mu s = \frac{1}{2} \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \left(\lambda_3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \lambda_8 \right) q, \quad (6)$$

where λ_3 and λ_8 denote the third and eighth Gell-Mann matrices, respectively. It follows from Eq. (6) that the electromagnetic breaking can be simulated by a spurion $E = \delta^{a3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \delta^{a8}$.

After the above two effects of $SU(3)$ breaking are considered, the effective Lagrangian of the $J/\psi \rightarrow H_1 H_2$ process can be written [2]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \psi \left\{ g_8 O_1^a O_2^a + g_1 S_1 S_2 + g_S d_{\text{abc}} O_1^a O_2^b O_3^c \right. \\ \left. + g_A f_{\text{abc}} O_1^a O_2^b O_3^c + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} [C_{123} O_1^a O_2^a S_3 \right. \\ \left. + C_{132} O_1^a O_3^a S_2 + C_{231} O_2^a O_3^a S_1 + f S_1 S_2 S_3] \right\}. \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

If the final states of $J/\psi \rightarrow H_1 H_2$ decays are a vector and a pseudoscalar, the expression of the above effective

Lagrangian can be further written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \psi \left\{ g_8 P_1^a V_2^a + g_1 P_0 V_0 + g_M^{88} d_{\text{abc}} O_1^a O_2^b M_3^c \right. \\ \left. + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} [g_M^{81} O_1^a M_3^a S_2 + g_M^{18} O_2^a M_3^a S_1] + g_E^{88} d_{\text{abc}} O_1^a \right. \\ \left. \times O_2^b E_3^c + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} [g_E^{81} O_1^a E_3^a S_2 + g_E^{18} O_2^a E_3^a S_1] \right\}, \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

in which g_8 and g_1 denote the coupling constants of the octet and singlet, g_M^i and g_E^i are the coupling constants of the mass breaking term and electromagnetic breaking term, respectively, f_{abc} and d_{abc} coefficients are the anti-symmetrical and symmetrical structure constants of the $SU(3)$ group.

2.2 Decay amplitude and width of $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ decays

The physical particles in corresponding to the pseudoscalar and vector mesons are

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\pi^+ + \pi^-), \\ P_2 &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\pi^+ - \pi^-), \\ P_3 &= \pi^0, \\ P_4 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(K^+ + K^-), \\ P_5 &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(K^+ - K^-), \\ P_6 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(K^0 + \bar{K}^0) = K_S^0, \\ P_7 &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(K^0 - \bar{K}^0) = iK_L^0, \\ P_8 &= \eta_8^0 = \eta \cos \theta_P + \eta' \sin \theta_P, \\ P_0 &= \eta_1^0 = -\eta \sin \theta_P + \eta' \cos \theta_P, \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\rho^+ + \rho^-), \\ V_2 &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\rho^+ - \rho^-), \\ V_3 &= \rho^0, \\ V_4 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(K^{*+} + K^{*-}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_5 &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(K^{*+} - K^{*-}), \\
 V_6 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(K^{*0} + \overline{K}^{*0}), \\
 V_7 &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(K^{*0} - \overline{K}^{*0}), \\
 V_8 &= \phi \cos\theta_V + \omega \sin\theta_V, \\
 V_0 &= -\phi \sin\theta_V + \omega \cos\theta_V, \quad (10)
 \end{aligned}$$

then we calculate in detail the effective Lagrangian of $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ decays

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_1^a V_2^a &= P^1 V^1 + P^2 V^2 + P^3 V^3 + P^4 V^4 + P^5 V^5 + P^6 V^6 \\
 &\quad + P^7 V^7 + P^8 V^8 \\
 &= \pi^+ \rho^- + \pi^- \rho^+ + \pi^0 \rho^0 + K^+ K^{*-} \\
 &\quad + K^- K^{*+} + K^0 \overline{K}^{*0} + \overline{K}^0 K^{*0} \\
 &\quad + \eta \omega \cos\theta_P \sin\theta_V + \eta \phi \cos\theta_P \cos\theta_V + \eta' \omega \sin\theta_P \sin\theta_V \\
 &\quad + \eta' \phi \sin\theta_P \cos\theta_V, \quad (11)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_1 S_2 &= P^0 V^0 = -\eta \omega \sin\theta_P \cos\theta_V + \eta \phi \sin\theta_P \sin\theta_V \\
 &\quad + \eta' \omega \cos\theta_P \cos\theta_V - \eta' \phi \cos\theta_P \sin\theta_V, \quad (12)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{abc} O_1^a O_2^b M_3^c &= d_{ab8} P^a V^b = d_{118} P^1 V^1 + d_{228} P^2 V^2 \\
 &\quad + d_{338} P^3 V^3 + d_{448} P^4 V^4 + d_{558} P^5 V^5 \\
 &\quad + d_{668} P^6 V^6 + d_{778} P^7 V^7 + d_{888} P^8 V^8 \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [\pi^+ \rho^- + \pi^- \rho^+ + \pi^0 \rho^0] \\
 &\quad + \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{3}} [K^+ K^{*-} + K^- K^{*+} + K^0 \overline{K}^{*0} \\
 &\quad + \overline{K}^0 K^{*0}] + \frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}} [\eta \omega \cos\theta_P \sin\theta_V \\
 &\quad + \eta \phi \cos\theta_P \cos\theta_V + \eta' \omega \sin\theta_P \sin\theta_V \\
 &\quad + \eta' \phi \sin\theta_P \cos\theta_V], \quad (13)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 O_1^a M_3^a S_2 &= P^8 V^0 = \eta \omega \cos\theta_P \cos\theta_V - \eta \phi \cos\theta_P \sin\theta_V \\
 &\quad + \eta' \omega \sin\theta_P \cos\theta_V - \eta' \phi \sin\theta_P \sin\theta_V, \quad (14)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 O_2^a M_3^a S_1 &= P^0 V^8 = -\eta \omega \sin\theta_P \sin\theta_V - \eta \phi \sin\theta_P \cos\theta_V \\
 &\quad + \eta' \omega \cos\theta_P \sin\theta_V + \eta' \phi \cos\theta_P \cos\theta_V, \quad (15)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{ab3} P^a V^b &= d_{383} P^3 V^8 + d_{833} P^8 V^3 + d_{443} P^4 V^4 \\
 &\quad + d_{553} P^5 V^5 + d_{663} P^6 V^6 + d_{773} P^7 V^7 \\
 &= \pi^0 \omega \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \sin\theta_V + \pi^0 \phi \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \cos\theta_V \\
 &\quad + \eta \rho^0 \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \cos\theta_P + \eta' \rho^0 \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \sin\theta_P + \frac{1}{2} K^+ K^{*-} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} K^- K^{*+} - \frac{1}{2} K^0 \overline{K}^{*0} - \frac{1}{2} \overline{K}^0 K^{*0}, \quad (16)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$d_{abc} O_1^a O_2^b E_3^c = d_{ab3} P^a V^b + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} d_{ab8} P^a V^b, \quad (17)$$

$$O_1^a E_3^a S_2 = P^3 V^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} P^8 V^0, \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P^3 V^0 &= \pi^0 (\omega \cos\theta_V - \phi \sin\theta_V) \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \pi^0 \omega - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \pi^0 \phi, \quad (19)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$O_2^a E_3^a S_1 = V^3 P^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} V^8 P^0, \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 V^3 P^0 &= \rho^0 (-\eta \sin\theta_P + \eta' \cos\theta_P) \\
 &= -\eta \rho^0 \sin\theta_P + \eta' \rho^0 \cos\theta_P, \quad (21)
 \end{aligned}$$

therefore we obtain the coupling constants and their corresponding strengths of $J/\psi \rightarrow PV$ decays given in Table 1. It should be noted that our results are similar to Ref. [2], but we distinguish in detail the different parameters of mass effect and electromagnetic effect.

The amplitude of $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ decays is

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{g_{\psi VP}}{m_\psi} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_\psi^\mu \varepsilon_\nu^\nu p_V^\rho \varepsilon_V^{*\sigma}, \quad (22)$$

where $g_{\psi VP}$ is the coupling constant and its various expressions are tabulated in Table 1, so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\overline{\mathcal{M}}|^2 &= \frac{1}{2s_\psi + 1} \sum_{s_\psi} \sum_{s_V} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{M}^\dagger \\
 &= \frac{2|g_{\psi VP}|^2}{3m_\psi^2} \left\{ \left(\frac{m_\psi^2 + m_V^2 - m_P^2}{2} \right)^2 - m_\psi^2 m_V^2 \right\} \\
 &= \frac{2}{3} |g_{\psi VP}|^2 p^2, \quad (23)
 \end{aligned}$$

in which \vec{p} is the momenta of vector meson in the c.m. frame, and can be denoted as

$$p = |\vec{p}| = \frac{\sqrt{[m_\psi^2 - (m_V + m_P)^2][m_\psi^2 - (m_V - m_P)^2]}}{2m_\psi}. \quad (24)$$

The differential decay rate for $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ can be written as

$$d\Gamma = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \frac{p}{m_\psi^2} |\overline{\mathcal{M}}|^2 d\Omega, \quad (25)$$

so we have the total decay width

$$\Gamma = \int \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \frac{p}{m_\psi^2} |\overline{\mathcal{M}}|^2 d\Omega = \frac{1}{3} \frac{p^3}{m_\psi^2} \frac{|g_{\psi VP}|^2}{4\pi}. \quad (26)$$

3 Numerical analysis and discussions

In Table 1, we present the free parameters involved in the decays $J/\psi \rightarrow PV$. In these parameters, g_M^{88} , g_M^{81} and g_M^{18} denote the $SU(3)$ mass breaking terms induced by the different quark mass, g_E^{88} , g_E^{81} and g_E^{18} denote the $SU(3)$ electromagnetic terms induced by the different quark electric charge. There is a phase angle, noted by δ_E , between electromagnetic and strong interaction. We can take the coupling constants of the mass effect as real,

and denote the coupling constants of electromagnetic effect with $g_E^i = |g_E^i| e^{i\delta_E}$. Therefore there are eleven free parameters in the decays $J/\psi \rightarrow PV$, they are $g_8, g_1, g_M^{88}, g_M^{81}, g_M^{18}, |g_E^{88}|, |g_E^{81}|, |g_E^{18}|, \delta_E, \theta_P$ and θ_V . For the sake of simplicity, we shall take the following four cases to analyze and discuss the properties of these parameters.

Case I: Assuming $g_M^{88} = g_M^{81} = g_M^{18} = g_M, g_E^{88} = g_E^{81} = g_E^{18} = g_E$, and taking the mixing between ϕ and ω as ideal mixing, i.e., $\theta_V \approx 35.3^\circ$. In this case, there are only six parameters, they are $g_8, g_1, g_M, |g_E|, \delta_E$ and θ_P .

Case II: Assuming $g_M^{88} = g_M^{81} = g_M^{18} = g_M, g_E^{88} = g_E^{81} = g_E^{18} = g_E$, but taking the mixing angle θ_V regard as free. In this case, there are seven parameters which are $g_8, g_1, g_M, |g_E|, \delta_E, \theta_P, \theta_V$ respectively.

Case III: Assuming that the three parameters of mass effects are not equal, and the three parameters of electromagnetic effects are not equal, either, they are all free parameters, but taking $\theta_V \approx 35.3^\circ$, then we shall have 10 parameters: $g_8, g_1, g_M^{88}, g_M^{81}, g_M^{18}, |g_E^{88}|, |g_E^{81}|, |g_E^{18}|, \delta_E$, and θ_P .

Table 1. The coupling constants and their corresponding strengths of $J/\psi \rightarrow PV$.

decay modes	coupling constants and their corresponding strengths							
$J/\psi \rightarrow PV$	g_8	g_1	g_M^{88}	g_M^{81}	g_M^{18}	g_E^{88}	g_E^{81}	g_E^{18}
$\pi^+ \rho^-$	1	0	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0
$\pi^- \rho^+$	1	0	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0
$\pi^0 \rho^0$	1	0	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0
$K^+ K^{*-}$	1	0	$\frac{-1}{2\sqrt{3}}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0
$K^- K^{*+}$	1	0	$\frac{-1}{2\sqrt{3}}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{3}$	0	0
$K^0 \bar{K}^{*0}$	1	0	$\frac{-1}{2\sqrt{3}}$	0	0	$\frac{-2}{3}$	0	0
$\bar{K}^0 K^{*0}$	1	0	$\frac{-1}{2\sqrt{3}}$	0	0	$\frac{-2}{3}$	0	0
$\pi^0 \omega$	0	0	0	0	0	$\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \sin\theta_V$	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \cos\theta_V$	0
$\pi^0 \phi$	0	0	0	0	0	$-\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \cos\theta_V$	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \sin\theta_V$	0
$\eta \rho^0$	0	0	0	0	0	$\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \cos\theta_P$	0	$-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \sin\theta_P$
$\eta' \rho^0$	0	0	0	0	0	$\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \sin\theta_P$	0	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \cos\theta_P$
$\eta \omega$	$\cos\theta_P \sin\theta_V$	$-\sin\theta_P \cos\theta_V$	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}} \cos\theta_P \sin\theta_V$	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \cos\theta_P \cos\theta_V$	$-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \sin\theta_P \cos\theta_V$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ 4th colu.	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ 5th colu.	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ 6th colu.
$\eta \phi$	$\cos\theta_P \cos\theta_V$	$\sin\theta_P \sin\theta_V$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cos\theta_P \cos\theta_V$	$-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \cos\theta_P \sin\theta_V$	$-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \sin\theta_P \sin\theta_V$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ 4th colu.	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ 5th colu.	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ 6th colu.
$\eta' \omega$	$\sin\theta_P \sin\theta_V$	$\cos\theta_P \cos\theta_V$	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin\theta_P \sin\theta_V$	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \sin\theta_P \cos\theta_V$	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \cos\theta_P \cos\theta_V$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ 4th colu.	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ 5th colu.	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ 6th colu.
$\eta' \phi$	$\sin\theta_P \cos\theta_V$	$-\cos\theta_P \sin\theta_V$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin\theta_P \cos\theta_V$	$-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \sin\theta_P \sin\theta_V$	$\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \cos\theta_P \sin\theta_V$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ 4th colu.	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ 5th colu.	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ 6th colu.

Case IV: Assuming the three parameters of mass effects are not equal, and the three parameters of electromagnetic effects are not equal, either, further taking the mixing angle θ_V as free, then all of the eleven parameters are free.

For the latter two cases, we have to request more experimental information to analyze them due to too many parameters.

The experimental results for the decays $J/\psi \rightarrow PV$ are mainly from Mark-III [11], DM2 [12] and BES [13, 14], which are shown in Table 2. The last column in this table is the latest world average in 2012 [1]. To clarify the results obtained from different data sets, we divided them into three subsections to investigate the properties of the coupling constants of the decays $J/\psi \rightarrow PV$.

3.1 Analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ from Mark-III, DM2 and PDG2012 data in Case I

First, we consider the simplest case, i.e., Case I. In Ref. [15], the pseudoscalar mixing in J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ decays has been analyzed, which shows η flavors only consist of light quarks and η' has a room for gluonium admixture. However, in this paper we shall only consider their quark content in order to analyze the properties of coupling constants from $SU(3)$ breaking. The fit results are given in Table 3. From this table, we can obtain the following results: (i) the coupling constant of the octet strong interaction, g_8 , is about twice larger than that one of the singlet, g_1 ; (ii) the $SU(3)$ breaking coupling constant from the electromagnetic effect is large, about the same order of g_8 and g_1 , however, the $SU(3)$ breaking coupling constant from the mass effect is rather small, about one order smaller than those of g_8 and g_1 , moreover its uncertainty is also very large; (iii) the phase angle between strong and electromagnetic interactions is about $\frac{2}{5}\pi$; (iv) the mixing angle in η and η' , θ_P , is about -20° , which is consistent with the reasonable range $-20^\circ \sim -10^\circ$ [16]; and (v) compared with the results of Mark-III and DM2 data, the quality of the fit to PDG2012 data is very large.

3.2 Analysis of $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ from Mark-III, DM2 and PDG2012 data in Case II

Next, we consider Case II, i.e., the ω - ϕ mixing angle is left as a free parameter. The fit results are listed in Table 4. From this table, we can see that the results of this case are similar to those of Case I only, but the fit results to MarkIII data, $\theta_P = -15.2 \pm 2.93$ are obviously different from those of Case I. The table shows that the mixing in ω and ϕ is basically an idea mixing.

3.3 Analysis of the branching ratios of $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ in Case III and IV

Finally, we consider the latter two cases. There are

10 and 11 free parameters in Case III and IV, respectively. Because each of the experimental results are not sufficient do a reasonable fit for 10 or 11 free parameters in these two cases, we try to figure out these free parameters by combining the data from the different collaborations. Such as for Case III, the results of fit from Mark-III+BES data can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_8 &= (6.57 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}, \\
 g_1 &= (3.14 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}, \\
 g_M^{88} &= (18.1 \pm 4.01) \times 10^{-4}, \\
 g_M^{81} &= (5.78 \pm 4.20) \times 10^{-4}, \\
 g_M^{18} &= (3.23 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-4}, \\
 |g_E^{88}| &= (1.73 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-3}, \\
 |g_E^{81}| &= (2.74 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-3}, \\
 |g_E^{18}| &= (2.18 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}, \\
 \delta_E &= 69.5 \pm 12.6, \quad \theta_P = -19.0 \pm 3.44.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{27}$$

We can see that (i) the coupling constants of strong interaction, g_8 and g_1 , are basically consistent with those of Case I and Case II; (ii) the difference of three coupling constants of electromagnetic effect is not obvious, but three coupling constants of the mass effect have a rather large difference. Moreover their uncertainties are rather large; and (iii) the quality of the fit in this case is very large, it is a possible reason for dealing with it together with the data from the different collaborations.

For Case IV, the results of fit from Mark-III and BES data are

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_8 &= (6.57 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}, \\
 g_1 &= (3.05 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}, \\
 g_M^{88} &= (18.7 \pm 3.30) \times 10^{-4}, \\
 g_M^{81} &= (11.0 \pm 1.47) \times 10^{-4}, \\
 g_M^{18} &= (7.00 \pm 0.68) \times 10^{-4}, \\
 |g_E^{88}| &= (1.81 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-3}, \\
 |g_E^{81}| &= (2.70 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-3}, \\
 |g_E^{18}| &= (2.14 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}, \\
 \delta_E &= 78.0 \pm 2.56, \quad \theta_P = -18.0 \pm 0.53, \\
 \theta_V &= 35.9 \pm 1.47, \quad \chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 36.1/5.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{28}$$

This case is similar to Case III. The difference of three coupling constants of electromagnetic effect is still not obvious, three coupling constants of the mass effect have a rather large difference, and at the same time, the phase angle between strong and electromagnetic interactions is slightly large.

The results of fit from DM2+BES combination and Mark-III+DM2 combination, are similar to those of Mark-III+BES. Their numerical results are not given individually.

Table 2. The branching fractions of $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ from Mark-III, DM2, BES and PDG2012 ($\times 10^{-3}$).

decay modes	Mark-III	DM2	BES	PDG2012
$\rho\pi$	$14.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 1.9$	13.2 ± 2.0	$21.8 \pm 0.05 \pm 2.01$	16.9 ± 1.5
$\rho\eta$	$0.193 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.029$	$0.194 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.029$		0.193 ± 0.023
$\rho\eta'$	$0.114 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.016$	$0.083 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.012$		0.105 ± 0.018
$\phi\pi$	< 0.0068		< 0.0064	< 0.0064
$\phi\eta$	$0.661 \pm 0.045 \pm 0.078$	$0.64 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.11$	$0.898 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.089$	0.75 ± 0.08
$\phi\eta'$	$0.308 \pm 0.034 \pm 0.036$	$0.41 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.08$	$0.546 \pm 0.031 \pm 0.056$	0.40 ± 0.07
$\omega\pi$	$0.482 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.064$	$0.360 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.054$	$0.538 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.065$	0.45 ± 0.05
$\omega\eta$	$1.71 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.20$	$1.43 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.21$	2.352 ± 0.273	1.74 ± 0.20
$\omega\eta'$	$0.166 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.019$	$0.18^{+0.10}_{-0.08} \pm 0.03$	0.226 ± 0.043	0.182 ± 0.021
$K^{*-}K^{+} + c.c.$	$5.26 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.53$	$4.57 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.70$		5.12 ± 0.30
$K^{*0}\bar{K}^0 + c.c.$	$4.33 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.45$	$3.96 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.60$		4.39 ± 0.31

Table 3. The fit results to MarkIII, DM2 and PDG2012 data in Case I.

parameter	Mark-III	DM2	PDG2012
$g_8 (\times 10^{-3})$	5.89 ± 0.18	5.57 ± 0.22	5.98 ± 0.13
$g_1 (\times 10^{-3})$	2.72 ± 0.20	3.12 ± 0.40	2.77 ± 0.26
$g_M (\times 10^{-4})$	8.08 ± 3.21	5.84 ± 4.22	9.44 ± 2.72
$ g_E (\times 10^{-3})$	2.11 ± 0.10	1.95 ± 0.11	2.06 ± 0.08
δ_E	71.4 ± 11.5	74.9 ± 17.0	75.8 ± 6.87
θ_P	-19.5 ± 1.52	-20.0 ± 0.68	-19.0 ± 1.59
$\chi^2/d.o.f$	$7.19/4$	$3.63/4$	$19.4/4$

Table 4. The fit results to Mark-III, DM2 and PDG2012 data in Case II.

parameter	Mark-III	DM2	PDG2012
$g_8 (\times 10^{-3})$	5.87 ± 0.18	5.57 ± 0.22	5.99 ± 0.13
$g_1 (\times 10^{-3})$	2.84 ± 0.21	3.12 ± 0.42	2.84 ± 0.29
$g_M (\times 10^{-4})$	5.75 ± 3.40	5.88 ± 4.84	8.42 ± 3.32
$ g_E (\times 10^{-3})$	2.15 ± 0.10	1.94 ± 0.12	2.07 ± 0.08
δ_E	71.7 ± 11.1	74.9 ± 16.9	76.0 ± 6.81
θ_P	-15.2 ± 2.93	-20.1 ± 5.06	-17.8 ± 2.68
θ_V	41.7 ± 3.65	35.4 ± 7.27	37.5 ± 3.42
$\chi^2/d.o.f$	$4.95/3$	$3.63/3$	$19.2/3$

4 Conclusions

Based on the general phenomenological model, we

have studied the properties of the coupling constants of the decays $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$. Considering the experimental data of Mark-III, DM2 and BES Collaborations and the world average in 2012 for $J/\psi \rightarrow VP$ decays, we can find that (i) the octet coupling constant of strong interaction g_8 is about twice larger than that of the singlet coupling constant g_1 ; (ii) the electromagnetic breaking terms g_E^i are larger, about the same order of g_8 and g_1 , the difference of three coupling constants g_E^i isn't obvious, then it is appropriate that we take them as equal in Case I and II; (iii) the $SU(3)$ breaking coupling constant from the mass effect is rather small, about one order smaller than those of g_8 and g_1 . Moreover, its uncertainty is quite large. It is a very strong assumption that we take them as equal in Case I and II; (iv) the phase angle between strong and electromagnetic interactions is about the range of $70^\circ - 80^\circ$; (v) the mixing angle in η and η' , θ_P , is about the range of $-15^\circ - -20^\circ$, which is consistent with the reasonable range usually considered; (vi) the mixing angle θ_V is about the range of $35^\circ - 37^\circ$. It means the $\phi - \omega$ mixing is basically an idea mixing. Therefore in the breaking of $SU(3)$ flavor symmetry, the electromagnetic effects are large, moreover the mass effects are comparatively small, which provides useful information for comprehending the breaking of $SU(3)$ flavor symmetry.

References

- Beringer J et al. (Particle Data Group). Phys. Rev. D, 2012, **86**: 010001
- Haber H E, Perrier J. Phys. Rev. D, 1985, **32**: 2961–2970
- Thomas C E. JHEP, 2007, **10**: 026
- ZHAO Qiang, LI Gang, CHANG Chao-Hsi. Phys. Lett. B, 2007, **645**: 173–179
- Bass S D. Acta. Phys. Polon. Supp., 2009, **2**: 11–22
- Escribano R. Acta. Phys. Polon. Supp., 2009, **2**: 71–78
- Escribano R. Eur. Phys. J. C, 2010, **65**: 467–473
- QIAN Wen, MA Bo-Qiang. Eur. Phys. J. C, 2010, **65**: 457–465
- KE Hong-Wei, YUAN Xu-Hao, LI Xue-Qian. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, 2011, **26**: 4731–4738
- Donoto C D, Ricciardi G, Bigi I I. Phys. Rev. D, 2012, **85**: 013016
- Coffman D et al. (MARK-III collaboration). Phys. Rev. D, 1988, **38**: 2695–2705
- Jousset J, Ajaltouni Z, Falvard A et al. Phys. Rev. D, 1990, **41**: 1389–1400
- BAI J Z et al. (BES collaboration). Phys. Rev. D, 2004, **70**: 012005
- Ablikim M et al. (BES collaboration). Phys. Rev. D, 2006, **73**: 052007
- WEI Dai-Hui, YANG Yong-Xu. Chin. Phys. C (HEP & NP), 2010, **34**(12): 1785–1790
- Feldmann T. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, 2000, **15**: 159–207